

Ordinary Meeting

Meeting Date: Tuesday, 28 April, 2026

Location: The Studio, Shoalhaven Entertainment Centre, Bridge Road, Nowra

Additional Information

The following information was provided to Councillors and includes responses to questions submitted to the CEO prior to the Council Meeting, as well as written submissions submitted to Council by 9:30am on the day of the Council Meeting. The information is published in accordance with Section 3.32 of the Code of Meeting Practice.

AGENDA QUESTIONS	1
CL26.62 Notice of Motion - Application for Shoalhaven City Council to become Crown Land Manager for the Warden Head Lighthouse Site / CCL26.8 Tenders - Various Mains Relining Package 5.....	1
CL26.62 Notice of Motion - Application for Shoalhaven City Council to become Crown Land Manager for the Warden Head Lighthouse Site	1
CL26.64 Notice of Motion - Bay and Basin Sports and Community Hub Project.....	2
CL26.78 Proposed Sale of 54 and 56 Emmett St Callala Bay	2
CL26.79 Draft Plans of Management - Showgrounds - Outcomes of Public Exhibition	3
CL26.82 Tenders - Nowra Riverfront Precinct - Principal Design Consultant.....	4
CCL 26.6 Tenders - Nowra Riverfront Precinct - Principal Design Consultant / CCL26.7 Tenders - Construction of Woollamia Depot Administration Building & Associated Works.....	4
WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS	5
CL26.63 Notice of Motion - South Coast Rail Line - Impacts on Shoalhaven Residents	5
CL26.78 Proposed sale of 54 and 56 Emmett Street, Callala Bay	5
CL26.86 Development Application - 2 Barnett St Vincentia - Lot 65 DP 526875	5

AGENDA QUESTIONS

CL26.62 Notice of Motion - Application for Shoalhaven City Council to become Crown Land Manager for the Warden Head Lighthouse Site / CCL26.8 Tenders - Various Mains Relining Package 5

Questions: Clr Boyd

1. What happens to the relining of the Roskell Road sections?
2. Are they reolled into the next Package?
3. Will there be sufficient funds allocated next year to include the Roskell Road, or will alternative section be bumped into the following year?

Answer: Director – Shoalhaven Water

The sections of Roskell Road relining recommended for removal from the proposed work package, were determined following consultation with Shoalhaven Water’s Asset and Operational teams. The sections removed were considered to be lower risk of failure and determined following review of available CCTV footage.

The excluded sections will remain on the *Overall Shoalhaven Water Relining Works Program Spreadsheet* and assessed in future work packages once funds are available most likely in the 2027 or 2028 financial years.

A decision on which lines are to be included in the next Relining Works Package will be confirmed at the time of the next procurement approach based on the risk and prioritisation.

CL26.62 Notice of Motion - Application for Shoalhaven City Council to become Crown Land Manager for the Warden Head Lighthouse Site

Questions: Clr Boyd

The CEO Note indicates that the site requires expenditure of \$18,720 to bring the site up to a standard Council can accept.

1. What embellishments/action is required to bring this site up to the required standard?
2. What is the estimated cost of each of these actions?

Questions: Clr Dunn

3. What are the \$18720 embellishments outlined in the CEO notes and could the working group contribute to this (possible Grant or fundraising) once management has been granted?
4. The approx. cost to maintain crown land up to the site?

Questions: Clr Tribe

5. Can a parkcare group be set up to do maintenance with volunteers?
6. And has any negotiation happened with crown lands?
7. My understanding is that they have a budget for maintenance could it not be brought up to scratch before being handed over?

Answers 1-2: Director – City Services

The costs provided below are estimates only based on site visits from horticultural staff.

To bring the reserve up to a standard that Council staff can readily maintain in a cost effective and systematic basis, embellishment works would include:

Removal and disposal of existing soil	\$4,000.00
Installation of new soil/fill and new turf	\$12,000.00
Labour including contractors, staff and equipment	<u>\$2,720.00</u>
<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>\$18,720.00</u>

Answers 3-4: Director – City Services

The costs provided below are estimates only based on site visits from horticultural staff.

To bring the reserve up to a standard that Council staff can readily maintain in a cost effective and systematic basis, embellishment works would include:

Removal and disposal of existing soil	\$4,000.00
Installation of new soil/fill and new turf	\$12,000.00
Labour including contractors, staff and equipment	<u>\$2,720.00</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$18,720.00</u>

The costs to maintain beyond the initial site improvements would be funded and delivered by Council.

The cost to maintain Crown Land up to site with approximately 20 services per year is \$4,252.00.

Answers 5-7: Director – City Services

If Council assumes care and control, Council could undertake an expression of interest to engage community interest in managing Warden Head Lighthouse.

No negotiation has been undertaken to date with Crown Lands to gauge community interest in a Park Care Group. It is Council’s understanding however, that there has been volunteer contributions in both maintenance and delivery of Warden Head Lighthouse gardens and embellishments.

Should Council assume care and control, staff will be contacting Crown Lands seeking both funding opportunities to maintain the asset and capital costs prior to handover.

CL26.64 Notice of Motion - Bay and Basin Sports and Community Hub Project

Question: Clr Dunn

Does this project fit the upcoming Community Wellbeing grant through council?

Answer: Director – City Performance

In accordance with Council’s [Community Wellbeing Grants Policy](#) (section 2.4) applications for capital expenditure (i.e. planning, design, and construction of physical assets) are not eligible under the grants program.

CL26.78 Proposed Sale of 54 and 56 Emmett St Callala Bay

Question: Clr Tribe

Please clarify if there is intended to still be space for a community garden and the rest of the lot sold as suggested at the public forum. Please outline the external consultation undertaken if any, as well any masterplanning done to date on the site.

Has any consideration been given to where the community garden could go if this lot is sold?

Answer: Director – City Services

Is there intended to still be space for a community garden and the rest of the lot sold as suggested at the public forum?

Yes. The proposal is to sell the whole of Lots 54 and 56 Emmett Street. As shown on image 2, Lot 52 is not for sale and will continue as a community garden. The Callala Community Garden will retain its licence over 52 Emmett Street. Only the triangular section (Area C, approximately 174m², image 3) on 54 Emmett Street will be removed from the existing licence.

What external consultation has been undertaken, if any?

As part of the 2024 Land Sales Strategy, Council conducted a public online survey from 11 April to 14 June 2024 which included these sites. The survey received 446 completed responses with over 6,100 page visits. No feedback was received specifically regarding 54 and 56 Emmett Street. The Callala Community Garden Group was also notified of the proposed sale by email in March 2026.

What master planning has been done to date on the site?

The sites at 54 and 56 Emmett Street were identified in Table 3 of the 8 April 2024 Council Report (CL24.89) for broader master planning consideration. Following further assessment, they were not part of any further master planning (such as subdivision or other development options) due to their location adjacent to the existing community garden on 52 Emmett Street.

Has any consideration been given to where the community garden could go if this lot is sold?

Council has offered the Community Garden Group the opportunity to increase their licensed area into Lot 1 Chisholm Street, (directly behind Lot 52) where they already hold a partial licence.

CL26.79 Draft Plans of Management - Showgrounds - Outcomes of Public Exhibition

Questions: Cllr Boyd

In the conditions table on pages 15/16:

1. The dog agility area is assessed as fair/satisfactory. Does this assessment take into account the new fence funded by the Dog Club?
2. What causes it to be assessed in this condition?

Re Milton Showground:

3. I assume the L shaped block on figures 1 and 2 is the Council Owned section (the numbers in the figures and the Legend are very difficult to decipher). Is that correct?
4. Also in the Landowner table on p 29 there are 2 Crown land blocks, same reserve number, different DP. Why?
5. Where is the smaller reserve? It is not clear.

Re KV and Berry Showgrounds:

6. Condition of a number of structure is in poor or very poor/poor condition. Is there a plan and timeline to improve these structures?
7. Are they safe for public and volunteer use?
8. Is there danger of these deteriorating further in the short term?

Answers 1-2: Director – City Services

Condition score information was extracted from Council's Asset Register databases in November 2024.

A 'condition rating scale' is provided in Table 2 (above Table 3), outlining a definition for each condition rating.

Answers 3-5: Director – City Services

3. Land to which the Milton Showground Plan of Management will apply is outlined in Appendix 1. Yes, the 'L-shaped' block (Lot 1 DP 960910, ref. Appendix 2 – Figure 1) is owned by Shoalhaven City Council.

4. It is common for crown reserves to encompass multiple lots.

5. There is a lot boundary missing from Figure 2 (Appendix 2). This is an anomaly, and can be rectified prior to publication. For general awareness, the missing lot boundary runs from the northern boundary to the southern boundary and is aligned approximately with the western façade of the amenities building (labelled as item 7 in the legend of this plan).

Answers 6-7: Director – City Services

The draft **Community Infrastructure Strategic Plan (CISP) 2056** identifies upgrades for each of the 637 community facilities within Council’s community infrastructure portfolio, including facilities located at each of the four showgrounds. The draft CISP is on public exhibition until 20 May 2026.

In accordance with the ‘condition rating scale’ illustrated in Table 2, facilities with an asset condition score of ‘poor’ require “... significant renewal/rehabilitation or substantial maintenance to keep the asset serviceable”. Facilities with an asset condition score of ‘very poor’ are considered to be “... unserviceable and/or beyond rehabilitation. Immediate action required”.

Any facilities considered to be unsafe for community use will be closed (as needed / on a case-by-case basis) until required rehabilitation / action is completed.

CL26.82 Tenders - Nowra Riverfront Precinct - Principal Design Consultant

Question: Clr Steele

Is the area involving this item subject to a native title claim?

Answer: Director – City Services

To date, there is a claim lodged over the proposed Nowra Riverfront Precinct foreshore. As the land is Council managed crown land, Council is required to consider Native Title implications. Council’s Native Title Manager will need to review the land and assess whether the proposed activities or works can be undertaken in compliance with the *Native Title Act 1993*.

As part of the project stakeholder engagement process Council has worked with Yerrabingin to facilitate a feedback session for the Nowra Riverfront Precinct to which 12 community members attended on 21 January 2026.

Council have included the cultural input into the Open Space Master Plan which will be carried into detailed design through continued consultation.

CCL 26.6 Tenders - Nowra Riverfront Precinct - Principal Design Consultant / CCL26.7 Tenders - Construction of Woollamia Depot Administration Building & Associated Works

Question: Clr Boyd

In relation to the tenders for these 2 projects, each project has a different contingency percentage.

How do you determine the appropriate contingency percentage for a project?

Answer: Director – City Services

The differing contingency allowances reflect the distinct risk profiles of the two projects, noting that contingency is proportionate to risk. The Design of the Nowra Riverfront Precinct includes a higher contingency of 20% as it is an early-stage design project where scope, technical solutions, stakeholder requirements and approval pathways are still being developed, resulting in greater uncertainty and potential for change.

In contrast, the Woollamia Depot Administration Building & Associated Works Construction Project includes a 10% contingency because it is based on a well-defined and mature design, with risks largely confined to construction delivery factors such as site conditions.

WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS

Written submissions were received for the following items and were distributed to Councillors:

CL26.63 Notice of Motion - South Coast Rail Line - Impacts on Shoalhaven Residents

1. Ms Janice Gregory provided a written submission FOR the recommendation (see [attachment 1](#)).

CL26.78 Proposed sale of 54 and 56 Emmett Street, Callala Bay

1. Ms Madeleine Read on behalf of Callala Community Garden Inc. provided a written submission AGAINST the recommendation (see [attachment 2](#)).
2. Mr Glen Manning provided a written submission AGAINST the recommendation (see [attachment 3](#)).

CL26.86 Development Application - 2 Barnett St Vincentia - Lot 65 DP 526875

1. Ms Sue Tolley provided a written submission FOR the recommendation (see [attachment 4](#)).

No written submissions were refused.

Attachment 1

CL26.63 Written Deputation

From south of Nowra, to reach Sydney Airport, residents need to first travel to Bomaderry Station. Careful planning is needed.

I use times from Ulladulla as a southern reference point.

Bus route 100 leaves Ulladulla at 9.10am and arrives at Bomaderry 10:35am Mon, Wed and Fri. It arrives 11:25 am on Tues and Thurs.

Premier Coaches leaves Ulladulla daily at 10:50am and arrives Bomaderry at 12:21pm

I'll use a popular flight of Q1 Sydney to London. This leaves Sydney at 2.45pm so no bus link is possible, unless staying overnight in Sydney.

That flight requires 9:20 am train from Bomaderry or 9:51am from Kiama.

Hence the need for a lift to eg Bomaderry.

The bus times are irrelevant in the issue of the proposal for our trains not stopping at Wollie Creek which is an interchange, as listed on CityRail site. The issue is extra lifting and extra effort to the traveller. It is necessary to lift your bag on at Bomaderry then off at Kiama. Drag across the platform and lift the bag onto the Sydney train.

Alight, lugging your bag, at Wollie Creek, pull your bag to the lift. Down one level. Walk towards the next lift. Down to the lower platform. Lift your bag onto the next train and lift off again at International or Domestic terminal. While travellers could be any age, please note that postcode 2539 has 54% over the age of 50 in 2021 Census and 2538 has 56%. Those over 50 - many retired and the age to travel!!

If the train ceases to stop at Wollie Creek, all of us will have to lug our bags off at Hurstville, lug onto the next train, (platform change? I don't know!) and then reach Wollie Creek. Going to Central, as suggested in article MUT 10 April, isn't a reasonable option. It would be much further to drag your bag from the train at Central country concourse, to a lift, down to the tunnel, drag along that till you reach a lift for T8 and ride that to the platform and board a T8 - airport line train. I reckon that would be an additional 45+ minutes.



Whether travelling for business or pleasure, **everyone wants the most expeditious route to the airport. The proposal for South Coast trains not to stop at Wollie Creek is ludicrous.**

While many of us would be heading for the airport, another favourite for older travellers would be to alight at Wollie Creek, walk to the T8 platform and catch the train to Circular Quay. From there you catch a ferry to Manly, catch two buses to Palm Beach then catch a ferry to Ettalong. From there, you catch a bus to Gosford, train back to Central and train back to wherever in the south. All for \$2.50 plus the Ettalong ferry ticket. This works particularly well in daylight saving time. It's fun!

Seniors have great pleasure planning train trips and another when alighting at Wollie Creek, is to catch the train to Macarthur, have lunch then come back a different route from Glenfield.

I urge Council to support the motion to write to the NSW Minister for Transport, John Graham and the MPs for Kiama, South Coast and Bega, seeking an urgent review of the proposed timetable and thus **a.** maintain services stopping at Wollie Creek Station

b. prevent forced additional changes when travelling to Sydney Airport and elsewhere in Sydney.

Janice Gregory



Attachment 2



Submission to Shoalhaven City Council from Callala Community Garden Inc.

Council Meeting 28 April 2026

Item CL26.78 – Proposed Sale of 54 and 56 Emmett Street, Callala Bay

To the Chief Executive Officer and Councillors,

We write to formally object to the proposed sale of 54 and 56 Emmett Street, Callala Bay, and to request that Council defer any decision until proper strategic planning, policy compliance, and community consultation processes have been completed.

This submission consolidates several key concerns relating to governance, policy consistency, strategic planning, and the demonstrated community value of the site.

1. Failure to Follow Council's Own Strategic Planning Framework

The proposed sale represents a clear departure from Council's previously adopted planning pathway for this land.

Under the 8 April 2024 Land Sales Strategy (CL24.89), the Emmett Street site was explicitly identified for **master planning and further investigation**, not immediate disposal. This recognised the site as potentially strategic land requiring careful, evidence-based planning before any decision about its future use.

There is no evidence that:

- The Community Infrastructure Strategy (CISP) has been updated for Callala Bay
- Site-specific master planning has been undertaken
- Meaningful consultation with the community or user groups has occurred

Proceeding with a sale in the absence of this work reverses Council's own prior resolution (CL24.89) and undermines the integrity of its strategic planning framework.

Decisions of this significance should be grounded in the very processes Council has already determined are necessary.

2. Pre-emptive Disposal of Potentially Strategic Community Land

Council's Community Infrastructure Needs Analysis identifies the need for a coordinated master plan for sporting and community facilities in Callala Bay to accommodate future growth.

If approved, the proposed additional 380 homes (subject to a yet-to-be-completed master plan driven by Sealark Pty Ltd) bordering Emmett Street and Callala Beach Road will create a significant impact on this community and additional demand on community infrastructure which has not previously been considered or provisioned.

Callala Community Garden Inc.
52 Emmett Street, Callala Bay NSW 2540



Selling 54 and 56 Emmett Street now is **pre-emptive**. It permanently removes land that may be required to meet future recreational, community, or infrastructure needs. Once sold, such land cannot realistically be reacquired.

This is particularly concerning given that broader planning for the Callala Bay area—including environmental considerations and biodiversity corridors—remains unresolved.

Strategic land decisions should follow, not precede, this analysis.

3. Active Community Use Contradicts “Surplus Land” Classification

The classification of this land as “surplus” or “underutilised” is demonstrably incorrect.

54 Emmett Street currently hosts the **Boat Veggie Garden**, a publicly accessible community garden that has been operating for approximately three years. This facility:

- Provides free herbs and vegetables to residents and visitors 24/7
- Functions as a social and community hub
- Supports local food security and sustainability
- Encourages participation, wellbeing, and community connection

This use delivers clear community benefit and aligns directly with Council’s **Community Wellbeing Strategy**, particularly the goals of vibrant communities where everyone can participate and connect, lifelong learning, and healthy active communities.

This is not vacant or idle land. It is an active, valued community asset.

Under Council Policy 22/47, land must be assessed for **existing community use** before being deemed surplus. The presence of a thriving, publicly accessible facility should trigger consideration of retention or enhancement—not disposal.

4. Inconsistency with Policy 22/47 and Governance Standards

The proposed sale raises serious concerns regarding compliance with Council’s Land Investment and Disposal Policy (22/47).

Key issues include:

- **Lack of a demonstrated “Best Value” process**, particularly if a direct or private sale is being considered rather than a competitive public process
- **Failure to adequately consider active community use**, as required by the policy
- **Departure from established planning pathways**, without transparent justification
- **The recommendation for sale being the result of an approach by an interested party**

Callala Community Garden Inc.
52 Emmett Street, Callala Bay NSW 2540



- **The report’s notation for the potential for the site to be redeveloped as a medical facility** not as a result of master planning and community needs analysis

In addition, Council’s public-facing Property Sales Program continues to list these lots as **“Not For Sale” (NFS)**. Advancing a sale while this designation remains in place creates confusion and undermines public trust in Council’s processes and transparency.

Council’s appointment of O’Connor, Marsden and Associates as a probity advisor for the sales strategy process raises queries. These are both in regard to whether this firm has been briefed in regard to these specific sites, and the manner in which this report is being brought to Council. That is, due solely to an approach to purchase by an interested party and not through completion of previously required master planning, needs analysis assessments, and other community engagement activities identifying the site as surplus.

A further query exists in regard to the Council Officer’s notation that proposes site use for “expanded medical facilities” especially now that Callala Medical Centre has confirmed its desire to buy the land. It begs the question: what (or who) motivated the idea for this stated potential use?

Good governance requires consistency between policy, public information, and decision-making.

5. Disregard for Community Consultation and Demonstrated Support

The proposed sale does not appear to reflect community sentiment or present the outcomes of any consultation.

The Draft Community Infrastructure Strategy is informed by extensive engagement, with a clear preference for:

- Maintaining and improving existing assets
- Supporting community wellbeing and participation
- Investing in local infrastructure rather than divesting it

These sites were originally identified for the expansion of sports grounds facilities. The report provides no evidence of engagement or consultation with current sports grounds users or the broader community to demonstrate they are no longer required for such use and therefore suitable for disposal.

Neither does the report provide evidence of any valuable consultation with the current tenant of part of 54 Emmett Street, Callala Community Garden, or the broader community about the sites’ value and use as: a public access boat veggie garden; a public thoroughfare between Chisholm and Emmett Streets; and green space containing around 30 mature trees, and the resulting social, environmental and health benefits.

In addition, there is strong, demonstrable local support for retaining the site for the community, including our petition with over 900 signatures gathered in a very short period. This high level of engagement indicates that the land is highly valued and actively used—not surplus.

Callala Community Garden Inc.
52 Emmett Street, Callala Bay NSW 2540



Proceeding without meaningful consultation with current and potential users is inconsistent with both good planning practice and Council's own community engagement principles.

6. Weak Financial Justification for Immediate Sale

The rationale for sale is not supported by Council's current financial position.

Public reporting indicates that Council is:

- Meeting cost-saving targets
- Tracking in line with budget expectations

While the Property Sales Program contributes to revenue (\$13M in 2024-25), it provides only a **one-off financial benefit** and does not address the underlying structural deficit.

Selling irreplaceable community-zoned land for a one-off payment prioritises immediate financial metrics over the permanent social and strategic health of Callala Bay. The loss of strategically located community land is **permanent and irreversible**.

Short-term financial gains should not come at the expense of long-term community infrastructure capacity, particularly where the strategic role of the land has not yet been properly assessed.

7. Risks to Strategic, Social, and Environmental Outcomes

Selling these sites now carries multiple long-term risks:

- Loss of land that may be critical for future community infrastructure
- Undermining of integrated planning across the Callala Bay precinct
- Disruption of an established and valued community initiative
- Potential impacts on environmental and biodiversity planning outcomes

These risks reinforce the need for a cautious, evidence-based approach aligned with Council's own planning framework.

Requested Actions

In light of the above, it is respectfully requested that Council:

1. **Defer any decision** on the sale of 54 and 56 Emmett Street
2. Complete the **Community Infrastructure Strategy and Needs Analysis** for Callala Bay
3. Undertake **site-specific master planning** for the Emmett Street precinct
4. Conduct **genuine community consultation**, including with existing users and potential future stakeholders
5. **Demonstrate, with clear evidence, whether the land is truly surplus** to long-term community needs

Callala Community Garden Inc.
52 Emmett Street, Callala Bay NSW 2540



6. **Retain the site and consider reclassification** to reflect its current and future community value

Conclusion

The proposed sale of 54 and 56 Emmett Street is premature, procedurally flawed, and inconsistent with Council's own policies and strategic planning commitments.

The land is actively used, strategically located, and strongly valued by the community. Its future should be determined through the proper planning processes already identified by Council—not through an expedited disposal.

Deferring the sale will allow Council to make a transparent, evidence-based decision that reflects both community expectations and long-term planning needs.

Respectfully submitted,
Callala Community Garden

Contact:

Chair, Madeleine Read [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Attachment 3

Objection to Item CL26.78 – Proposed Sale of 54 & 56 Emmett Street

To: Chief Executive Officer and Councillors, Shoalhaven City Council

From: Glen Manning

Date: 23rd April 2026

Subject: Item CL26.78 – Proposed Sale of 54 and 56 Emmett Street, Callala Bay

I object to the proposed sale of 54 and 56 Emmett Street and request that Council **defer any decision** until strategic planning, policy compliance, and genuine community consultation are completed. The sale is viewed as premature, procedurally flawed, and a direct contradiction of Council's own prior resolutions and planning frameworks.

Key Grounds for Objection

1. Failure to Follow Strategic Planning Framework

- **Deviation from Strategy:** In April 2024 (CL24.89), this site was identified for **master planning and investigation**, not immediate disposal.
- **Lack of Evidence:** There is no evidence that the Community Infrastructure Strategy (CISP) has been updated or that site-specific master planning has occurred.
- **Impact of Growth:** With new homes proposed for the area, the demand for community infrastructure will increase significantly. Selling this land now pre-emptively removes space required for future recreational needs.

2. Active Community Use vs. "Surplus" Classification

- **The Boat Veggie Garden:** 54 Emmett Street is not "vacant" or "idle" land. It currently hosts a publicly accessible garden providing free produce and acting as a social hub.
- **Environmental Value:** The site serves as a public thoroughfare and contains approximately **30 mature trees**, contributing to local biodiversity and community health.
- **Demonstrated Support:** A petition with over **900 signatures** supports retaining the site, proving it is highly valued by the community.

3. Policy Inconsistency and Governance Concerns

- **Policy 22/47 Non-Compliance:** Council's Land Investment and Disposal Policy requires an assessment of existing community use before land is deemed surplus.
- **Transparency Issues:** The site is still listed as "Not For Sale" (NFS) on public-facing programs.
- **Questionable Motivation:** The recommendation for sale appears to be driven by an approach from a private interested party (Callala Medical Centre) rather than a transparent, needs-based analysis.

Requested Actions

I urge Council to:

1. **Defer the sale** of 54 and 56 Emmett Street immediately.
2. **Complete the Needs Analysis** and Community Infrastructure Strategy for Callala Bay.
3. **Undertake Master Planning** specifically for the Emmett Street precinct.

4. **Conduct Genuine Consultation** with current users and the broader community.
5. **Reclassify the Land** to reflect its current and future community value rather than selling it for short-term financial gain.

Conclusion

The loss of strategically located community land is **permanent and irreversible**. Council should prioritise the long-term social and strategic health of Callala Bay over one-off financial metrics. Decisions of this magnitude must be grounded in the evidence-based processes Council has already established.

Glen Manning

[REDACTED]

23/4/2026

Attachment 4**CL26.86 - Development Application -2 Barnett St. Vincentia – lot 65 DP 526875**

Our Future Shoalhaven (OFS) is an organisation that aims to support the Shoalhaven community in protecting the environment, health, wellbeing, and social justice. We were approached to support local residents of Barnett St and Lambs Crescent in Vincentia in their efforts to oppose a development that they firmly and passionately believed was not in the best interest of the neighbourhood or indeed Vincentia as a whole. Having looked in detail at the plans submitted by the Owner of 2 Barnett Street we believe their concerns to be completely justified. As a result we submitted objections to the planning department as did more than 100 others.

We are now pleased to see the DA Officer has conducted her professional assessment and has presented very clear details for why the proposed building must be refused. The assessment demonstrates the application fails to meet the necessary standards in extraordinary degrees. We hope that this technical assessment together with the neighbourhoods passionate concerns about the negative change to the street character, residential family life and social cohesion, will convince the Councilors and the Mayor to vote in favor of the resolution to refuse the application. OFS appreciates the opportunity to participate in debates such as this and hopes our views are of value.

Sue Tolley

Out Future Shoalhaven